

**JAMES R. SIGEL (CA SBN 288478)**  
jsigel@dwt.com  
**DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP**  
50 California Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 276-4850

*Attorney for Respondent Apple Inc.*

*[Additional counsel listed on signature page]*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

	)	CASE NO.: 5:23-mc-80322 (EJD)
	)	(Consolidated)
	)	
	)	<b>STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER</b>
IN RE: CONSUMERS' ASSOCIATION)	)	<b>EXTENDING DEADLINE TO MOVE TO</b>
LITIGATION)	)	<b>QUASH OR RESPOND TO SUBPOENAS</b>

Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 6-2 and 7-12, applicants Consumers’ Association (“Which”) and Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm”) and respondents Apple Inc. (“Apple”), Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (“SSI”), and Samsung, Electronics America, Inc. (“SEA,” and together with SSI, the “Samsung Entities”) hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 7, 2023, Which commenced the above-captioned miscellaneous proceeding (the “Which 1782 Proceeding”) seeking discovery pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 (“Section 1782”) from Apple, as well as the Samsung Entities, in connection with a lawsuit (the “U.K. Action”) that Which commenced against Qualcomm before the Competition Appeal Tribunal of the United Kingdom, *see* Dkt. No. 1;<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> References to a “Dkt. No.” refer to the ECF numbers in the above-captioned, consolidated

1 WHEREAS, on January 23, 2024, the Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Which 1782  
2 Proceeding authorizing Which to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities, without  
3 prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the  
4 Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoenas and  
5 this Court's January 23, 2024 order, *see* Dkt. No. 21 at 7;

6 WHEREAS on January 25, 2024, Apple received a copy of Which's subpoena and this  
7 Court's January 23, 2024 order;

8 WHEREAS, on January 29, 2024, SSI received, but was not served with, a copy of Which's  
9 subpoena and SEA was served with Which's subpoena on February 2, 2024;

10 WHEREAS SSI served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on  
11 February 12, 2024, and SEA served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on  
12 February 13, 2024;

13 WHEREAS, on February 23, 2024, Which served SSI with Which's subpoena, and also  
14 re-served SEA with Which's subpoena;

15 WHEREAS SSI and SEA served amended written objections and responses to Which's  
16 subpoenas on March 8, 2024;

17 WHEREAS, on January 26, 2024, Qualcomm commenced a separate miscellaneous  
18 proceeding captioned *In re Ex Parte Application of: Qualcomm Incorporated*, No. 5:24-mc-80019  
19 (N.D. Cal) (the "Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding" and, with the Which 1782 Proceeding, the "1782  
20 Proceedings") seeking discovery pursuant to Section 1782 from Apple and the Samsung Entities  
21 in connection with the U.K. Action, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 1;

22 WHEREAS, on February 7, 2024, the Court entered an order relating the 1782 Proceedings  
23 pursuant to Local Civil Rules 3-12 and 7-11, *see* Dkt. No. 24; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 13;

24 WHEREAS, on February 9, 2024, this Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Qualcomm  
25 1782 Proceeding authorizing Qualcomm to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities,  
26

---

27 Which 1782 Proceeding. References to a "Qualcomm Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the  
28 Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding, as defined herein.

1 without prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple  
2 and the Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the  
3 subpoena and this Court's February 9, 2024 order, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 14 at 6;

4 WHEREAS, on February 15, 2024, Qualcomm served a subpoena and a copy of this  
5 Court's February 9, 2024 order on Apple;

6 WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, Qualcomm served subpoenas on SSI and SEA, along  
7 with a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order;

8 WHEREAS SSI and SEA served written objections to Qualcomm's subpoenas on March  
9 1, 2024;

10 WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in each of the 1782  
11 Proceedings setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash the  
12 subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm were authorized to serve on them, *see* Dkt. No. 30;  
13 Qualcomm Dkt. No. 18;

14 WHEREAS, on February 21, 2024, this Court entered an order in each of the 1782  
15 Proceedings granting Apple's motion to stay the deadline for Apple to respond to the subpoena  
16 that Which delivered to Apple, and ordering that Apple "need not move to quash, respond to or  
17 comply with" that subpoena "until April 3, 2024," *see* Dkt. No. 32; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 20;

18 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the Qualcomm  
19 1782 Proceeding setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple to move to quash or respond to  
20 the subpoena that Qualcomm served on Apple, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 22;

21 WHEREAS, on March 28, 2024, this Court consolidated the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to  
22 Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and ordered that all papers to be filed in the  
23 consolidated actions shall be filed in the above captioned-miscellaneous proceeding;

24 WHEREAS, on April 1, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated  
25 1782 Proceedings setting April 24, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to  
26 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm," *see* Dkt.  
27 No. 38;  
28

1 WHEREAS on April 19, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated  
2 1782 Proceedings setting May 15, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to  
3 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm,” *see* Dkt.  
4 No. 40

5 WHEREAS, since April 19, 2024, Which, Qualcomm, Apple and the Samsung Entities  
6 have continued to meet and confer in good faith;

7 WHEREAS, on May 9, 2024 Which agreed to Apple’s request to extend the deadline to  
8 move to quash or respond to Which’s subpoena, so as to continue exploring whether a compromise  
9 can be reached that would obviate the need for a motion to quash, and, thereafter, Qualcomm and  
10 the Samsung Entities also agreed that such extension would help all parties to continue exploring  
11 whether such a compromise can be reached; and

12 WHEREAS, Which, Qualcomm, Apple, and the Samsung Entities wish to set June 5, 2024  
13 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which’s and  
14 Qualcomm’s subpoenas, thereby aligning all parties’ motion-to-quash deadlines in the 1782  
15 Proceedings and thereby allowing an opportunity for efficient briefing of any motions to quash.

16 NOW THEREFORE, WHICH, QUALCOMM, APPLE, AND THE SAMSUNG  
17 ENTITIES HEREBY STIPULATE, subject to the approval of this Court, that June 5, 2024 is the  
18 deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas that  
19 Which and Qualcomm served on Apple and the Samsung Entities in connection with the 1782  
20 Proceedings. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall  
21 otherwise apply.<sup>2 3</sup>

22  
23 <sup>2</sup> To clarify Which’s position in an ongoing dispute between Which and the Samsung Entities,  
24 Which does not hold the view that the Court’s deadline for the motion to quash is obviated by  
25 any non-party serving objections to the subpoena. The parties may seek a clarification from the  
26 Court, if necessary.

27 <sup>3</sup> The Samsung Entities do not understand the Court’s orders or the parties’ stipulations (which  
28 specifically include the phrase “[t]he timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil  
Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply”) to require a motion to quash in order to preserve objections  
if a subpoena recipient timely served written objections under Rule 45, and view these  
stipulations as merely preserving the option of filing a motion to quash.

1 DATED: May 13, 2024

Respectfully submitted

2 /s/ James R. Sigel

3 jsigel@dwt.com  
4 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
5 50 California Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
6 San Francisco, California 94111  
7 Telephone: (415) 276-4850

8 *Attorney for Respondent Apple Inc.*

9 /s/ Leo D. Caseria

10 Leo D. Caseria (Cal. Bar. No. 240323)  
11 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON  
12 LLP  
13 333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor  
14 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
15 Telephone: (213) 620-1780  
16 Facsimile: (213) 620-1398  
17 E-mail: lcaseria@sheppardmullin.com

18 Mona Solouki (Cal. Bar. No. 215145)  
19 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON  
20 LLP  
21 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor  
22 San Francisco, California 94111  
23 Telephone: (415) 434-9100  
24 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947  
25 E-mail: msolouki@sheppardmullin.com

26 *Attorneys for Respondents Samsung Semiconductor,*  
27 *Inc. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*

28 s/ Mary Sameera Van Houton Harper

Samuel Maida (Cal. Bar. No. 333835)  
HAUSFELD LLP  
600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel: (415) 633-1908  
Fax: (415) 358-4980  
E-mail: smaida@hausfeld.com

1  
2 Reena A. Gambhir (*pro hac vice*)  
3 Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper (*pro hac vice*)  
4 HAUSFELD LLP  
5 888 16<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 300  
6 Washington, D.C. 20006  
7 Telephone: (202) 540-7200  
8 Facsimile: (202) 540-7201  
9 E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com  
10 E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com

11 *Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association*

12 s/ Felice Galant  
13 Katherine G. Connolly (CA SBN 313640)  
14 katie.connolly@nortonrosefulbright.com  
15 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  
16 555 California Street, Suite 3300  
17 San Francisco, California 94104  
18 Telephone: (628) 231-6800  
19 Facsimile: (628) 231-6799

20 Richard S. Zembek (*pro hac vice*)  
21 richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com  
22 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  
23 1301 McKinney Street, Suite 5100  
24 Houston, Texas 77010  
25 Telephone: (713) 651-5151  
26 Facsimile: (713) 651-5246

27 Felice Galant (*pro hac vice*)  
28 felice.galant@nortonrosefulbright.com  
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 318-3000  
Facsimile: (212) 318-3400

*Attorneys for Applicant Qualcomm Incorporated*

**ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5-1(i)(3)**

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I certify under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories above.

/s/ James R. Sigel

JAMES R. SIGEL

**[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm shall be extended to June 5, 2024. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE